



3

CF 25/12

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE MADHYA PRADESH: JABALPUR

W.P.No. 5347 OF 1996


PETITIONER:

Western India Plywood Ltd.
Registered Office: Ballipatam PO
Kannur-670010 (Kerala State).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. Authority under Payment of Wages Act (Labour Court), Jagdalpur.
2. Inspector,
Under Payment of Wages Act, 1986
Office of Asstt. Labour Commissioner, Raipur.
3. Managing Director,
~~M.P. Van Vikas Nigam, Panchanan Building, Pancham Tal, Malviya Nagar, Bhopal.~~
4. Chief Executive Officer,
Through: Narmada Forest Industries, Near Central Library, Bhopal.
5. Conservator of Forest,
Through: Director, Bastar Wood Pvt. Ltd., Jagdalpur.



PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Amended as per encl 5

W order dated 13.5.98

K Suresh

14/5/98

15.5.98

Quoted for Reimbursement

2.


Managing Director,

M.P. Van Vikas Nigam,

Pancham Tal, Malviya Nagar,

Bhopal (M.P.)

Panchanan Building,



88

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 5347 of 1996

Petitioner	Western India Plywood Ltd. & another
Versus	
Respondents	Authority under Payment of Wages Act and others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri P. S. Koshy, Advocate for the petitioner No. 1.

None for the petitioner No. 2.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1, 2 and 5.

None for the respondent No. 5.

ORAL ORDER

(15th June, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner No. 1 submits that earlier the instant petition was filed by the petitioner No. 1 only, and on an application (I.A.No. 1669-W/98) filed by the respondent No. 3, vide order dated 13.5.1998, passed by this Court, the name of respondent No. 3 was transposed as petitioner No. 2. He further submits that now the petition is to be prosecuted by the petitioner No. 2 only.
2. The matter is called for hearing twice. On both the occasions, none appears nor any representation is made on behalf of the petitioner No. 2.
3. In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge